



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/061,830	01/31/2002	Qin Liu	10014405-1	2306

7590 11/18/2003

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

YUAN, DAH WEI D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1745

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/061,830

Applicant(s)

LIU ET AL.

Examiner

Dah-Wei D. Yuan

Art Unit

1745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-81 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: .

FUEL CELL WITH FUEL DROPLET FUEL SUPPLY

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 10/061,830

Art Unit: 1745

November 13, 2003

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-20, in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Thereby, claims 21-81 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3,7,8,10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kindler et al. (US 6,440,594 B1).

With respect to claims 1,3,8,11,14,18, Kindler et al. teach a direct oxidation fuel cell system comprising a plurality of anodes, a plurality of cathodes, a plurality of electrolyte and a fuel reservoir. The fuel is provided in the form of an aerosol of liquid fuel droplets suspended in a gas. The aerosol is formed in an aerosol generator situated within the anode chamber of the fuel cell. See Abstract, Column 1, Line 64 to Column 2, Line 11; Figure 1.

With respect to claim 2,10,13,17,19,20 the amount of aerosol fuel delivered to the anode depends upon the particular oxidation catalyst used in the anode, the permeability of the

membrane in the electrode assembly to liquid fuel, the fuel concentration in the aerosol droplets, and the temperature and pressure within the cell. By monitoring fuel cell operating characteristics it is possible to determine an optimum aerosol feed rate for a give fuel cell configuration and cell operating conditions. For example, monitoring fuel cell power output, cell potential, or operating current provides convenient measures of fuel cell operating performance suitable for use in controlling the rate of aerosol fuel delivery to the anode. Preferably, the fuel droplet delivery rate is controlled by varying the duty cycle of the aerosol generator to maintain a desired cell output potential at a given power output. See Column 7, Lines 31-67. Kindler et al. do not specifically disclose the presence of a controller in the fuel cell system. However, it is the position of the examiner that such controller is inherent, given that both Kindler et al. and the present application utilize similar operation procedure and control sequence to operate the direct oxidation fuel cell system. Also, a controller would be essential to monitor and regulate the fuel droplet delivery rate into the fuel passage. A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature *is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference*. In re Robertson, 49 USPQ2d 1949 (1999).

With respect to claim 7,12,15,16, Kindler et al. further teach any number of means for forming an aerosol may be employed. For example, an aerosol may be formed by heat the fuel to a temperature above its boiling point in the presence of the suspending gas, then rapidly cooling the superheated fuel vapor to nucleate condensed droplets of liquid fuel suspended in the gas. The aerosol is preferably formed by atomizing the liquid fuel into the suspending gas. A wide variety of atomization means are known to those skilled in the art and may be employed in

Art Unit: 1745

this invention. These include orifices, single fluid atomization nozzles (airless sprayers), two fluid atomization nozzles (gas-assisted sprayers), rotating discs or wheels onto which the liquid is fed, or ultrasonic nozzles in which liquid is feed onto a needle or orifice oscillated at very high frequency to form liquid droplets in a suspending gas. See Column 7, Lines 14-30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kindler et al. (US 6,440,594 B1).

Kindler et al. disclose a fuel cell system comprising an ultrasonic atomizer as the fuel supply apparatus as described above in Paragraph 3. However, Kindler et al. do not specifically disclose the use a thermal drop ejector, a piezoelectric drop ejector, or a flextensional drop ejector to produce the fuel droplets into the fuel passage. However, ultrasonic atomizer, thermal drop ejector, piezoelectric drop ejector, and flextensional drop ejector are considered functionally equivalent fuel supply apparatus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute a thermal drop ejector (or a piezoelectric drop ejector, or a

Art Unit: 1745

flexensional drop ejector) for the ultrasonic atomizer as the fuel droplet generating means in the fuel cell system disclosed by Kindler.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kindler et al. as applied to claims 1-3,7,8,10-20 above, and further in view of Singh et al. (US 6,572,993 B2).

Kindler et al. disclose a fuel cell system as described above in Paragraph 3. However, Kindler et al. do not disclose that the fuel cell system further comprising storage means for storing energy generated by the system. Singh et al. teach an electrical storage device is coupled in parallel to a fuel cell power generation system. The electrical storage device is either a battery pack, a plurality of capacitors, or a plurality of supercapacitors. The electrical storage device is capable of minimizing the unreacted fuel within the anode chamber. See Abstract, Column 1, Lines 40-64; Column 2, Lines 3-29. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to coupled an electrical storage device to the fuel cell system of Kindler et al. in parallel, because Singh et al. teach the use of either a battery pack, capacitors or supercapacitors to reduce the amount of excess fuel during transient operating conditions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (703) 308-0766. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/061,830

Page 5 of 5

Art Unit: 1745

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dah-Wei D. Yuan
November 13, 2003

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dah-Wei D. Yuan', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.